Ecobuild, 5 March 2013 Mind the Gap: Why does energy use fall so short of predictions?

Time to get real about building energy performance

Bill Bordass

the **USABLE BUILDINGS TRUST** www.usablebuildings.co.uk

THE PEFORMANCE GAP: SOME FUNDAMENTAL REASONS and AN EXAMPLE

The Design-Performance Gap: Identified in the 1990s

Data from the winner of the Green Building of the Year Award 1996



SOURCE: see discussion in S Curwell et al, Green Building Challenge in the UK, Building Research+Information 27(4/5) 286 (1999).

We're much better at improving performance in the virtual world than the real one. WHY?

- 1. We don't count everything, or have a dialogue about it. *Designers tend to concentrate on "regulated loads" only a term I hate!*
- 2. Models and policy assumes more technical and operational complication improves performance. *Usually it doesn't.*
- 3. Our procurement systems aren't fit for purpose: *they salami-slice the design intent.*

- 4. We don't pay nearly enough attention to detail, *particularly for usablilty and manageability.*
- 5. Commissioning and handover are often rushed, *perhaps inevitably, but why don't we plan to follow through?*
- 6. The industry hands over the keys and runs away, so buildings are seldom tuned-up and we don't understand how they work, or trap the unintended consequences.
- 7. With outsourcing and privatisation, government no longer closes the feedback loop routinely, and nothing else has replaced it.

For most of the construction and property industry, building performance in use has been another country ...

"designers seldom get feedback, and only notice problems when asked to investigate a failure." ALASTAIR BLYTH CRISP Commission 00/02

"I've seen many low-carbon designs, but hardly any low-carbon buildings" ANDY SHEPPARD Arup, 2009

We need to take much more account of the evidence under our noses.



SOURCE: Hellman cartoon for W Bordass, Flying Blind, Association for the Conservation of Energy & OXEAS (2001)

Are we counting things properly? 1. the design claim

Annual CO₂ emissions of energy use in a low-energy office building

kgCO2/m2 Treated Internal Floor Area at UK ECON 19 CO2 factors of 0.19 for gas and 0.46 for electricity



Are we counting things properly? 2. supply and demand basis for the claim

Annual CO₂ emissions of energy use in a low-energy office building

kgCO2/m2 Treated Internal Floor Area at UK ECON 19 CO2 factors of 0.19 for gas and 0.46 for electricity

<< Onsite renewable supply << >> Building energy demand >> expressed as CO₂ -10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140



Are we counting things properly? 3. From the log book: 2.5 x the claim.

Annual CO₂ emissions of energy use in a low-energy office building

kgCO2/m2 Treated Internal Floor Area at UK ECON 19 CO2 factors of 0.19 for gas and 0.46 for electricity

<< Onsite renewable supply << >> Building energy demand >> expressed as CO₂ -10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140



Is it working as anticipated? In use, regulated CO₂ was twice the prediction, and ...

9

Annual CO2 emissions of energy use in a low-energy office building

kgCO2/m2 Treated Internal Floor Area at UK ECON 19 CO2 factors of 0.19 for gas and 0.46 for electricity

<< Onsite renewable supply << >> Building energy demand >> expressed as CO₂ -10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140



Some underlying reasons for this particular gap

- PROCUREMENT: Transferred to developer at Stage E. Some continuity of design intent lost.
- HEATING: Issues with fabric integrity, controls and less sophisticated plant than the designers had anticipated.
- HOT WATER: Better separate from the heating?

- LIGHTING: Predictions optimistic owing to control issues and insufficient daylight on some walls and ceilings.
- SERVER ROOM: Efficient air-conditioning provided, but independent specialist advice on the energy performance of the servers themselves would have been helpful.
- CATERING KITCHEN: Some items overspecified.
- CONTROLS and METERING: Need for better integration, commissioning and fine tuning.



GETTING REAL: IMPROVING NEW CONSTRUCTION AND REFURBISHMENT

Make things simpler and smaller if you can, and do them better!

Fabric First: Efficient services need to be able to rely on it

Air pressure test of the Maths Building Cambridge as part of a Probe POE

37 times less air permeability than the Part L maximum permissible.





Gentle engineering Not over-engineering

"Evening out fluctuations has become an egalitarian enterprise which it is heresy to question." MICHAEL YOUNG, *The Metronomic Society (1988).*

"There is something inelegant in the mass of energy-consuming machinery needed at present to maintain constant RH ... something inappropriate in an expense which is beyond most of the world's museums." GARRY THOMSON, The Museum Environment (1978).

"What we've got used to, we're not entitled to" ... R BUNN (2008)

In 1994, two of these boilers heated a 3200 m² university building – *E Fry* (@ 15 W/m²).



So why does a recently-completed UK "zero-carbon" school have 60 W/m² of biomass boiler power with gas backup?







And this? A UPS a client never knew he was getting, with an overhead of 300,000 kWh/year

Controls, manageability and usability need to receive much more attention



"An intelligent building is one that doesn't make its occupants feel stupid"... ADRIAN LEAMAN

"We sell dreams and install nightmares"...вмs supplier



Cutting Carbon in Commercial Property through:

ONDON BETTER BUILDINGS PARTNERSHI

- Green leases
- Sustainability measurement and benchmarking

Valuation of sustainable buildings

Owner occupier partnerships

Don't add "green bling" unless you've got the fundamentals right

Prevention is better than cure

Don't provide what occupiers can't afford to manage



Why are we being forced to make things complicated in the name of sustainability?



Make sure you tackle the night loads <u>kWh/half hour in a recently-built secondary</u> school

Electrical consumption of large BSF school



Breakdown of annual electricity use: 44% used between 0800-1800 on term time days 56% (~£75,000) of electricity used at other times: 14% term weekends, 26% term nights, 16% holidays

SOURCE: Buro Happold (October 2009)

3

GETTING REAL: IMPROVING COMMUNICATION OF BUILDING ENERGY AND CARBON PERFORMANCE

²⁴ We need a strong focus on in-use performance, *with transparent communication*



We need proper resources to pull together procedures and provide good quality information and publications. Carbon Buzz is helping to do this but we also need consistent underpinnings that can bring together statutory, professional and industry measures

Carbon Buzz an RIBA CIBSE platform

Home News

25

EVIDENCE

The graphs represent the median GO2 emissions for both design and actual records sector-by-sector on a scale of 0-300 kgCO2/m2/yr. The figures below each column represent the number of records per sector. Click on the graph to see a detailed breakdown of projects in related DEC benchmark categories and to view anonymous project energy.

Current records often miss either design or the actual record as designers rarely have operational data and occupants rarely have design data. CarbonBuzz campaigns for the broader rollout of Display Energy Certificates to improve the feedback loop between design and operation.



A independent Technical Platform could help to support many interests, e.g.

Supply side	Influencers	Demand side
Property industry	Government	Building occupiers
Building industry	Government agencies	Building managers
Developer clients	Government clients	End-use clients
Building professions (as businesses)	Building professions <i>(ideally)</i>	Agents, advisers and consultants
Product and equipment suppliers <i>for buildings</i>	Voluntary bodies and independent advisers	Product and equipment suppliers for occupiers
Energy suppliers	Teaching and research	Energy support services
INDEPENDENT PUBLIC INTEREST PLATFORM		

GETTING REAL: IMPROVING PROCUREMENT



Sorting things out: Procurement Soft Landings can help

1. Inception and Briefing

28

Appropriate processes, better relationships. Assigned responsibilities, including client. Well-informed targets related to outcomes.

- 2. Design and construction Including expectations management.
- 3. Preparation for handover Better operational readiness.
- **4.** Initial aftercare Information, troubleshooting, liaison, fine tuning, training.
- 5. Longer-term aftercare monitoring, review, independent POE, feedback and feedforward.

Runs alongside any construction process

Downloadable free

from www.usablebuildings.co.uk and www.softlandings.org.uk

BSRIA is hosting a UK industry group.



the SOFT LANDINGS FRAMEWORK

for better briefing, design, handover and building performance in-use



www.bsria.org.uk

Soft Landings and the performance gap

- STAGE 1 Better briefing and initial estimation.
- STAGE 2

Expectations management and reality-checks during design and construction. *Needs a champion to progress.*

- STAGE 3 More thorough preparation for handover, with better commissioning, more dialogue with users and operators.
- STAGE 4 Follow-through and tuning-up after practical completion.

STAGE 5 Longer term monitoring, review and feedback.

CIBSE TM22 provides a way to keep tabs Actual versus predicted in a low-energy office design



© ESD/WBA/TES

The process is described in CIBSE TM22: Energy Assessment and Reporting Method, London: CIBSE (1999 and 2006)

Why buildings need tuning up: Chilled water 2012 vs 2011 in a new building



SOURCE: Current project on a university teaching/office building completed in 2010. Unpublished.

³² Why buildings need tuning up: *Continuous commissioning in a government office*



Source: EMOTR project by ABS at St Philips Place, Birmingham.

³³ Visible performance can mobilise management *without spending vast amounts of money*



Source: work by Bordass Associates, Power Efficiency, and ABS Consultancy for Climate Change Capital (landlord) and DCLG

But some buildings just can't be tuned: the fabric, systems and controls aren't up to it

Why good buildings go bad while some are just born that way

Dr Paul Bannister, Exergy Australia Pty Ltd

ABSTRACT

34

With the realisation that climate change is not going to be resolved by inaction or unrealised promises, the issue of actual building performance has become focal in today's commercial buildings sector. With this has come the genuinely problematic issue of delivering and operating buildings at levels of efficiency higher than have been achieved before.

While some argue that good design is all, those involved in operating buildings are generally aware that the issues of delivering and operating high-efficiency buildings are somewhat more complex. A building that has a good theoretical performance may not perform well in practice, while many lesser buildings may be easier to operate and improve.

In this paper, a range of issues that cause apparently well designed buildings to perform poorly are explored, with particular emphasis on the issues affecting base buildings under the Australian Building Greenhouse Rating scheme. These issues include items that can be seen as the responsibility of various participants in the supply chain, as well as many that are the product of numerous such participants. It is identified that delivering and operating high-efficiency buildings is a complex and multifaceted problem that requires a holistic rather than reductionist view of the building process. Some guidelines for more reliable delivery of efficient buildings are also provided.

We need to save real energy and carbon not virtual energy and carbon!

NATURE CAN'T BE FOOLED ... Richard Feynman

www.usablebuildings.co.uk